1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEATTLE	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:92-CR-00371-MJP
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON MOTION FOR RELIEF
12	v.	FROM FINANCIAL OBLIGATION
13	WILEY BAXTER GOLDEN,	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	The Court, having received and reviewed:	
17	1. Defendant's Motion for Relief from Financial Obligation (Dkt. No. 43)	
18	2. United States' Response to Defendant's Motion (Dkt. No. 46)	
19	and all attached declarations and exhibits, makes the following ruling:	
20	IT IS ORDERED that the motion is DENIED.	
21	The Court does not believe it has the jurisdictional authority to modify a restitution order	
22	contained in a final judgment. "It would be simply irrational to conclude that a district court has	
23	the authority to remit restitution orders that Congress has said must be mandatorily imposed:	
24		

1	such authority would nullify the force and effect of the [Mandatory Victims Restitution Act].
2	<u>U.S. v. Roper</u> , 462 F.3d 336, 338 (4th Cir. 2006).
3	
4	The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to Defendant and counsel.
5	Dated March 15, 2011.
6	
7	Marshy Helens
8	Marsha J. Pechman
9	United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	